

Treatment of Systemic Lupus Erythematosus with Macrophage-Activating Factor GcMAF^{anti}: Case Report



Anastasia S. Proskurina¹ , Svetlana S. Kirikovich¹ , Nikita A. Ivanov¹ , Evgeniy V. Levites¹ , Genrikh S. Ritter¹ , Vera S. Ruzanova¹ , Evgeniya V. Dolgova¹ , Elena R. Chernykh² , Nikolay A. Kolchanov³  and Sergey S. Bogachev^{1,*} 

¹Laboratory of Induced Cellular Processes, Institute of Cytology and Genetics, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia

²Laboratory of Cellular Immunotherapy, Research Institute of Fundamental and Clinical Immunology, Novosibirsk, 630099, Russia

³Directorate, Institute of Cytology and Genetics, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia

Abstract:

Introduction: GcMAF^{anti} is a Gc protein-derived macrophage-activating factor that enhances macrophage phagocytic activity and stimulates the production of anti-inflammatory cytokines. The aim of this study was to describe the clinical picture of monotherapy in the treatment of systemic lupus erythematosus.

Case Presentation: The patient (female, 37 years old) was diagnosed with systemic lupus erythematosus according to the EULAR/ACR 2019 diagnostic criteria. The patient had elevated titers of antinuclear antibodies, recurrent episodes of fever, a low C4 level, and a high level of anti-dsDNA antibodies. The activity of systemic lupus erythematosus was low, 5 points on the SLEDAI-2K scale. The patient was transitioned to GcMAF^{anti} monotherapy after two years of standard therapy with hydroxychloroquine and prednisolone. A total of four courses of subcutaneous GcMAF^{anti} injections were administered, each consisting of 12 injections over 24–36 days (a single 2–5 µg injection every 2–3 days), with 2–4-month intervals between treatment courses. GcMAF^{anti} monotherapy did not reverse the positive clinical changes in the patient achieved by standard therapy with hydroxychloroquine and prednisolone. No febrile episodes were observed during therapy and for 2–3 months following treatment; neither patient-reported complaints nor adverse events were reported during the same period. The result of GcMAF^{anti} monotherapy was 2–4 points on the SLEDAI-2K scale. Indicators of immunobiological markers of systemic lupus erythematosus indicated stabilization of the disease with GcMAF^{anti} monotherapy.

Conclusion: The results obtained in this case report of systemic lupus erythematosus treatment using the novel agent GcMAF^{anti} suggest that clinical studies are required to further analyze the effect of GcMAF^{anti} on systemic lupus erythematosus.

Keywords: Systemic lupus erythematosus, Antigen-presenting cell, Macrophage, Interleukin-10, Transforming growth factor beta, Vitamin D-binding protein.

© 2026 The Author(s). Published by Bentham Open.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode>. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.



Received: May 21, 2026
Revised: August 30, 2026
Accepted: September 08, 2026
Published: October 20, 2026

*Address correspondence to this author at the Laboratory of Induced Cellular Processes, Institute of Cytology and Genetics, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia; E-mail: labmolbiol@mail.ru

Cite as: Proskurina A, Kirikovich S, Ivanov N, Levites E, Ritter G, Ruzanova V, Dolgova E, Chernykh E, Kolchanov N, Bogachev S. Treatment of Systemic Lupus Erythematosus with Macrophage-Activating Factor GcMAF^{anti}: Case Report. Open Rheumatol J. 2026; 20: e18743129512761. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/0118743129512761261007054624>



Send Orders for Reprints to
reprints@benthamscience.net

1. INTRODUCTION

Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE) is a chronic multisystem autoimmune disease characterized by loss of immunological tolerance to self-antigens, production of a broad spectrum of autoantibodies, and damage to virtually any organ and system [1]. SLE predominantly affects women of childbearing age (female-to-male ratio of approximately 9:1), and its prevalence ranges from 20 to 150 cases per 100,000 individuals [2]. The disease is characterized by high clinical heterogeneity in terms of severity, disease course, and organ involvement [3, 4]. This clinical heterogeneity arises due to dysregulation of multiple interconnected immune and signaling pathways underlying disease pathogenesis. The clinical course of SLE is typically characterized by frequent relapsing episodes alternating with remission periods. The disease duration and organ involvement are often unpredictable. SLE pathogenesis is highly complex, and a primary disease driver is impairment of mechanisms responsible for elimination of autoreactive T cells [5, 6]. Immune complexes formed by autoantibodies and circulating self-antigens represent key effector elements in SLE. These autoimmune complexes are simultaneously generated at high levels in the body to activate the complement system, resulting in widespread non-specific lysis of random cells in any part of the body and sustained inflammation.

Vitamin D-Binding Protein (DBP) is a multifunctional polymorphic protein of the albumin family present in humans and other vertebrates [7]. DBP is synthesized by hepatic parenchyma cells and secreted into the bloodstream as a mature monomeric protein with a molecular weight of 51.2 kDa [8]. DBP is believed to be converted into Gc protein-derived Macrophage-Activating Factor (GcMAF) through partial deglycosylation, resulting in exposure of a terminal N-acetylgalactosamine residue, which is thought to be critical for macrophage-activating activity [7, 9-13]. The factor is attributed with various properties, and the experiments conducted indicate the contradictory nature of the described therapeutic potential of GcMAF [11, 14-17].

The preparation GcMAF^{anti} was developed at the Laboratory of Induced Cell Processes at the Institute of Cytology and Genetics, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences [18, 19]. Our studies have demonstrated that modulation of deglycosylation regimens enables the generation of agents with either pro- or anti-inflammatory properties [19]. The anti-inflammatory form, GcMAF^{anti}, has demonstrated a series of therapeutic effects. It has been shown to ameliorate experimentally induced arthritis and cystitis [19] and attenuate COVID-19 progression both through targeting the virus and by neutralizing the inflammatory response of alveolar macrophages [20]. GcMAF^{anti} also inhibits the development of stress-induced depression and reduces depressive-like

behavioral patterns in experimental mice (manuscript in preparation). In ongoing clinical trials, GcMAF^{anti} has been reported to improve clinical manifestations of arthritis. Available data suggest that GcMAF^{anti} has an active component affecting Antigen-Presenting Cells (APCs), dendritic cells and macrophages, promoting their differentiation towards either a tolerogenic state or a phenotype characterized by enhanced anti-inflammatory function. It has been hypothesized that the response to the preparation is systemic, associated with a local effect on naive T lymphocytes and the generation of T-regulatory lymphocytes, and that any systemic inflammatory disease associated with dysregulation of innate immune responses may represent a potential therapeutic target for GcMAF^{anti}.

GcMAF^{anti} (Immutalon®) was provided by ACTIVATOR MAF LLC (Novosibirsk, Russia). It is an injection solution, 2 or 5 µg/ml GcMAF. GcMAF^{anti} enhances the phagocytic activity of murine peritoneal macrophages and exhibits anti-inflammatory properties. It also induces the production of anti-inflammatory cytokines TGF-β and IL-10 without stimulating the synthesis of pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1β and TNF-α in murine peritoneal macrophages [18, 19].

In this case report, we evaluated for the first time the effect of GcMAF^{anti} on SLE symptoms.

2. CASE PRESENTATION

A female patient first manifested clinical symptoms at the age of 36 years, which included intermittent febrile episodes (fever up to 39 ± 0.5°C) and generalized body aches. Each febrile episode persisted for 10-14 h and resolved spontaneously without the use of any medication. The episodes initially occurred 1-2 times per month, then progressed to 3-4 times per month. Myalgia, weight loss, and fatigue were also reported. Finger joint pain was infrequent and mild.

One year after the onset of symptoms, the patient was diagnosed with low-activity SLE according to the EULAR/ACR 2019 diagnostic criteria with a SLEDAI-2K score of 5 [21]. Comprehensive blood biochemistry testing revealed elevated levels of antinuclear antibodies and anti-dsDNA antibodies, along with a low level of complement component C4 (Table 1). Standard treatment was prescribed immediately. For one and a half years, the patient received daily 200 mg of hydroxychloroquine as a specific agent suppressing autoreactive T cell production and 5 mg of prednisolone as a systemic anti-inflammatory modulator, followed by monotherapy with 200 mg of hydroxychloroquine daily for 6 months. The treatment was effective, and febrile episodes were completely resolved; the SLEDAI-2K score was 2-4 (Tables 1, 2). However, adverse effects (weight gain, enhanced sweating, nervousness, etc.) were observed.

Table 1. Hematological parameters and parameters related to disease severity before treatment (0 months), during standard therapy (1-24 months), and during GcMAF^{anti} administration (25-36 months) compared with the normal range.

Indicator	Normal Range	Time, Months										
		0	1	4	18	24	25	27	30	31	33	36
		Before Treatment	Standard Therapy			GcMAF						
Hematocrit	35-45, %	34.6	39.0	37.1	39.0	35.3	34.9	32.7	36.7	34.8	36.9	39.1
Hemoglobin	117-155 g/l	115	132	123	129	116	111	105	124	119	124	125
Erythrocytes	3.8-5.1, $\times 10^6/\mu\text{l}$	3.80	4.33	4.02	4.40	4.19	4.18	4.00	4.16	3.93	4.17	4.40
Leukocytes	4.5-11, $\times 10^3/\mu\text{l}$	8.72	6.49	5.90	5.67	9.09	4.06	4.23	6.74	5.07	7.20	5.88
Neutrophils	48-78, %	69.8	47.9	41.5	50.1	69.7	46.7	47.6	60.8	51.2	66.8	53.8
Lymphocytes	19-37, %	23.4	42.1	51.7	41.9	23.5	43.9	43.2	32.3	41.0	27.3	38.6
Monocytes	3-11, %	3.9	7.2	5.0	5.3	4.5	6.4	6.4	5.5	5.4	4.4	5.9
Neutrophils abs.	1.56-6.13, $\times 10^3/\mu\text{l}$	6.08	3.11	2.44	2.84	6.33	1.90	2.00	4.10	1.90	4.80	3.17
Alanine aminotransferase	0-35, U/l	6.5	9.8	6.0	8.7	8.4	9.3	3.2	9.5	8.8	7.6	8.0
Aspartate aminotransferase	0-35, U/l	11.7	15.0	12.7	16.9	16.9	18.4	13.4	17.5	15.9	14.5	16.0
Urea	2.8-7.2 mmol/l	3.54	3.26	3.48	3.67	4.01	4.19	4.41	4.17	4.76	4.07	-
Creatinine	58-96 $\mu\text{mol/l}$	80.27	88.15	82.42	90.80	90.18	82.78	80.16	91.28	80.52	87.07	77.00
Total bilirubin	5-21 $\mu\text{mol/l}$	5.98	9.25	6.29	8.78	5.74	6.04	5.30	9.21	9.05	7.15	-
Fibrinogen	2.0-4.0, g/l	-	1.75	1.77	2.30	3.03	2.35	2.16	2.67	2.22	2.73	-
C-reactive protein	0.0-5.0, mg/l	-	0.7	1.9	1.5	6.4	3.6	6.8	5.2	4.2	5.0	3.0
Rheumatoid factor	0-14 IU/ml	-	-	-	4.32	3.28	6.07	6.12	6.43	4.83	4.58	-
Complement C3	0.83-1.93, g/l	1.34	1.14	1.28	1.35	1.34	1.22	1.13	1.22	1.17	1.24	1.20
Complement C4	0.15-0.57, g/l	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.16	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.12
ANA by IFA (on HEp-2 cells)	<1:160	1:640 F, C	1:160 F, C	1:640 F, C	1:160 F, C	1:160 C	<1:160	1:160 F, C	1:160 F	1:160 F, H	1:160 H	<1:160
ANCA IgG by IFA	<1:40	1:40 P	<1:40	1:40 P	<1:40	<1:40	<1:40	<1:40	1:40 P	<1:40	<1:40	<1:40
Anti-dsDNA IgG	<25, IU/ml	155	104	107	42	32	45	23	93	69	57	114

Note: Black font indicates abnormal values. F - Fine-grained type, C - Cytoplasmic type, H - Homogeneous type, P - Perinuclear type.

Table 2. SLEDAI-2K scores during SLE therapy.

Time, Months											
0	1	4	18	24	25	27	30	31	33	36	
Before treatment	Standard therapy				GcMAF						
					After the 1st course	2 months after the 1st course	2 months after the 2nd course	After the 3rd course	2 months after the 3rd course	After the 4th course	
5	4	4	4	2	4	2	4	4	4	4	

The patient was the developer of the preparation and made an independent decision to initiate therapy with the experimental compound GcMAF^{anti} due to side effects of standard therapy. The patient signed informed consent for participation in experimental therapy with a complete change in treatment regimen by discontinuing standard therapy and transitioning to GcMAF^{anti} monotherapy. The authors acknowledge that the self-administration of an experimental therapeutic agent by a patient-researcher is outside the scope of standard clinical research protocols. However, this action is ethically consistent with Section 5 of the Nuremberg Code (1947), which permits potentially risky experiments in cases where researchers themselves

serve as test subjects. Other sections of the Nuremberg Code (1947) 1-10 may also be cited as arguments for the permissibility of conducting the study.

The preparation was administered under the supervision of a rheumatologist, who monitored vital functions and recorded side effects throughout the treatment and to this day, and who conducts clinical work within the framework of regulatory documents for conducting clinical trials for the treatment of autoimmune diseases.

Three courses of GcMAF^{anti} were administered: the patient received subcutaneous abdominal injections at 2-

month intervals. No other medications were used during this period.

Course 1: GcMAF^{anti} 2 µg administered 12 times with 1-day intervals.

Course 2: GcMAF^{anti} 5 µg administered 12 times with 2-day intervals.

Course 3: GcMAF^{anti} 5 µg administered 12 times with 1-day intervals.

GcMAF^{anti} monotherapy did not reverse the positive clinical changes in the patient achieved by standard therapy with hydroxychloroquine and prednisolone (Tables 1, 2). No febrile episodes were observed during therapy and for 2 months following treatment; neither patient-reported complaints nor adverse events were reported during the same period.

After this, in order to determine the duration of the therapeutic effect of GcMAF^{anti}, the patient stopped the treatment on his own. Four months after the third GcMAF^{anti} course, in the absence of therapy, the patient developed clinical symptoms manifested as fever, and a fourth course of GcMAF^{anti} was immediately initiated. After resumption of GcMAF^{anti} administration, clinical symptoms of the disease disappeared.

Course 4: GcMAF^{anti} 5 µg administered 12 times with 2-day intervals.

The important fact is that after the 1st and 4th courses, the ANA indicator temporarily normalized (<1:160).

The patient is currently taking a one-month course of GcMAF^{anti}, followed by a two-month break. No clinical symptoms have been observed during this time period. A combined assessment of molecular and biochemical parameters indicates low SLE activity according to the SLEDAI-2K scale (2-4) and no disease progression (Tables 1, 2).

3. DISCUSSION

The cellular events that initiate and propagate disease pathology can be summarized as follows. Antibodies are produced by plasma B cells that have received activation signals from autoreactive T helper cells carrying T cell receptors, which recognize various self-antigens presented by B cells as part of the major histocompatibility complex. Autoreactive T helper cells arise as a result of defective negative selection in the thymus. Their further differentiation into autoreactive T cells is mediated by expression of costimulatory molecules on APCs, including dendritic cells and macrophages. Under physiological conditions, the interaction between autoreactive T helper cells and self-antigens does not trigger expression of costimulatory molecules. However, during inflammation or under conditions of increased hormonal stress, dendritic cell and macrophage function is disrupted, and costimulatory proteins are expressed on the APC surface, thereby providing the second signal required to trigger T cell proliferation. Once the autoreactive T helper cell has received this dual signal from APCs and has been

activated (primed) against self-antigens presented to it, the cell proliferates. Subsequently, autoreactive T cells disseminate throughout the body in search of their cognate antigens presented on the surface of B cells. Following binding to T helper cells, B cells are activated, initiating autoantibody production and thereby driving the pathological process. The autoreactive DNA-antibody complex is marked by complement factors, precipitates on the membranes of nearby cells, and forms a membrane-attack complex, which results in cell lysis. This activation leads to the development of systemic inflammation and the development of SLE symptoms [5, 22, 23].

GcMAF^{anti} is a partially deglycosylated DBP precursor. The performed site-specific enzymatic processing yielded a factor that, upon interaction with macrophages of different tissue localization (peritoneal macrophages, alveolar macrophages, and microglia), induces either a tolerogenic M0-like or an anti-inflammatory phenotype. This is accompanied by enhanced macrophage phagocytic activity and increased secretion of anti-inflammatory cytokines IL-10 and TGF-β, whereas key pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1β and TNF-α are either not expressed or maintained at basal levels [18, 19]. The transition of macrophages into different states (M1, M0, and M2), which characterize their inflammatory phenotype, is regulated by the interaction of GcMAF with different deglycosylation modes and two C-type lectin domain family 10 member A (CLEC10A) derivatives, 63 and 65 kDa, activated in the presence of Ca²⁺ ions. The pro-inflammatory, specifically deglycosylated form GcMAF^{pro} interacts with the 65 kDa CLEC10A-associated derivative, promoting a pro-inflammatory phagocyte phenotype. In contrast, the anti-inflammatory form GcMAF^{anti} interacts with the 63 kDa CLEC10A-associated variant, supporting either an anti-inflammatory or neutral macrophage profile [18, 19]. GcMAF^{anti} may act on macrophages, which, along with dendritic cells, are the primary activators of naive T helper cells, thereby partially or completely suppressing the production of autoreactive T cells.

Having analyzed possible pathways underlying therapeutic effects of GcMAF^{anti} in SLE, we considered two mechanisms based on the experimentally identified properties of the preparation.

The first mechanism involves clearance of excessive apoptotic debris from the circulation. This mechanism is associated with a significant increase in macrophage phagocytic activity across various tissue compartments [24].

The second mechanism is modulation of dendritic cell and macrophage states *via* interaction with the 63 kDa CLEC10A-associated receptor variant in a Ca²⁺-dependent manner. There are several possible pathways that could have contributed to the positive clinical outcomes with GcMAF.

Activation of CLEC10A by the ligand enhances its interaction with CD45 phosphatase on the surface of autoreactive T cells, disrupting its phosphatase activity. This leads to the blocking of the activity of key signaling

proteins of the T cell receptor cascade, which “turns off” the autoreactive cell, preventing its proliferation. Increased absorption of calcium ions by APCs, which occurs as a result of CLEC10A and GcMAF^{anti} engagement, is accompanied by activation of the calcineurin pathway. The high ion concentration in the cytosol, which occurs after the internalization of the complex into an APC, activates the NFAT transcription factor through the calmodulin-calcineurin pathway, which, under the described conditions, triggers the programmed death (apoptosis) of autoreactive T helper cells in contact with APCs through the T cell receptor [25, 26].

By binding to CLEC10A receptors on APCs, GcMAF^{anti} stimulates the production of anti-inflammatory cytokines IL-10 and TGF- β , thereby establishing a tolerogenic microenvironment that suppresses the activity of autoreactive clones. Ligand-receptor interactions can activate signaling pathways in APCs that may favor T cell transformation into regulatory T cells over terminal activation of effector T helper cells [27-29]. Regulatory lymphocytes actively suppress inflammation at autoimmune inflammation sites. We believe that this mechanism is dominant and determines the positive processes associated with the action of GcMAF. Local injections of GcMAF alone, due to the minimal amount of active protein, are apparently unable to cover the entire body. However, M2 cells activated for anti-inflammatory activity migrate to local lymph nodes, where they encounter antigens, products of SLE activity. Primed phagocytes, secreting anti-inflammatory TGF- β and IL-10, initiate the generation of T-regulatory lymphocytes, shaping a systemic anti-inflammatory response with a specific focus. This mechanism represents *in situ* anti-inflammatory vaccination and is discussed in the scientific literature [30-33].

In general, the interaction of GcMAF^{anti} with CLEC10A, depending on the molecular context, leads either to immunosuppression (*via* IL-10, TGF- β) or to apoptosis of autoreactive cells.

The main limitation of this study is that it is a case report and describes the treatment experience of only one patient. In addition, the patient initially had low SLE activity, which may have contributed to the effect of GcMAF^{anti}. The results cannot be extrapolated to the general SLE patient population without conducting full-scale randomized controlled trials.

CONCLUSION

The results obtained in this case report of SLE treatment using the novel agent GcMAF^{anti} suggest that this preparation is of significant potential interest. However, clinical trials are required to further confirm its efficacy and safety. Nevertheless, the present findings provide a rationale for further investigation and support further advancement to clinical development.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

The authors confirm their contributions to the paper as follows: A.S.P.: Performed research, collected and

analyzed data, and wrote the paper; S.S.K., N.A.I., E.V.L., and G.S.R.: Performed research and contributed important reagents; V.S.R. and E.V.D.: Collected and analyzed data; E.R.C. and N.A.K.: Designed research and analyzed data; S.S.B.: Designed research, analyzed data, and wrote the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

APC	= antigen-presenting cell
CLEC10A	= C-type lectin domain family 10 member A, CD301
DBP	= vitamin D-binding protein
GcMAF	= Gc protein-derived macrophage-activating factor
SLE	= systemic lupus erythematosus

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

The study was approved by the Local Ethics Committee of the Research Institute of Fundamental and Clinical Immunology (Protocol No.1 of February 09, 2021). The informed consent to participate in the study was obtained from the participant.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

All procedures involving human participants were conducted in accordance with the ethical standards of the committee responsible for human experimentation (institutional and national), and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2013.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Consent for publication was obtained from the participant.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article.

STANDARDS OF REPORTING

CARE guidelines were followed.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation at the Institute of Cytology and Genetics [Project No. FWNR-2026-0025] and I.N.Z.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

REFERENCES

- [1] Scherlinger M, Kolios AGA, Kyttaris VC, Tsokos GC. Advances in the treatment of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Nat Rev Drug*

- Discov 2025; 24(12): 926-44.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41573-025-01242-0> PMID: 40676245
- [2] Elghali M, Chaabane I, Cherichi M, Jguirim M, Sakly N, Hammami S. Clinical characteristics and risk factors for lupus nephritis in Tunisian patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Int J Rheum Dis* 2025; 28(7): e70348.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1756-185x.70348> PMID: 40590514
 - [3] Elghali M, Azizi M, Jguirim M, Hammami S, Sakly N. Coexistence of antinucleosome and anti-double-stranded DNA antibodies is associated with severe systemic lupus erythematosus in Tunisian patients. *Lab Med* 2025; 56(5): 504-10.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/labmed/lmaf002> PMID: 40245259
 - [4] Elghali M, Yosr B, Syrine D, Mahboubia J, Nabil S, Sonia H. Isolated anti-ribosomal P antibodies are associated with reduced risk of renal and articular involvement in systemic lupus erythematosus patients. An observational study from one center. *Reumatologia* 2025; 63(1): 27-34.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.5114/reum/197390> PMID: 40206226
 - [5] Dai X, Fan Y, Zhao X. Systemic lupus erythematosus: Updated insights on the pathogenesis, diagnosis, prevention and therapeutics. *Signal Transduct Target Ther* 2025; 10(1): 102.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41392-025-02168-0> PMID: 40097390
 - [6] Tian J, Zhou H, Li W, Yao X, Lu Q. New mechanisms and therapeutic targets in systemic lupus erythematosus. *MedComm* 2025; 6(6): e70246.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mco2.70246> PMID: 40491969
 - [7] Bouillon R, Schuit F, Antonio L, Rastinejad F, Vitamin D. Vitamin D binding protein: A historic overview. *Front Endocrinol* 2020; 10: 910.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2019.00910> PMID: 31998239
 - [8] Schoentgen F, Metz-Boutique M-H, Jollès J, Constans J, Jollès P. Complete amino acid sequence of human vitamin D-binding protein (group-specific component): Evidence of a three-fold internal homology as in serum albumin and α -fetoprotein. *Biochim Biophys Acta Protein Struct Mol Enzymol* 1986; 871(2): 189-98.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-4838\(86\)90173-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-4838(86)90173-1) PMID: 2423133
 - [9] Delrue C, Speeckaert MM. Vitamin D and vitamin D-binding protein in health and disease. *Int J Mol Sci* 2023; 24(5): 4642.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms24054642> PMID: 36902073
 - [10] Albracht SP. Immunotherapy with GcMAF revisited - A critical overview of the research of Nobuto Yamamoto. *Cancer Treat Res Commun* 2022; 31: 100537.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ctarc.2022.100537> PMID: 35217488
 - [11] Saburi E, Saburi A, Ghanei M. Promising role for Gc-MAF in cancer immunotherapy: From bench to bedside. *Casp J Intern Med* 2017; 8(4): 228-38.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.22088/cjim.8.4.228> PMID: 29201312
 - [12] Sumiya YU, Inoue T, Ishikawa M, et al. Macrophages exhibit a large repertoire of activation states via multiple mechanisms of macrophage-activating factors. *Anticancer Res* 2016; 36(7): 3619-23.
 PMID: 27354632
 - [13] Yamamoto N, Naraparaju VR, Asbell SO. Deglycosylation of serum vitamin D3-binding protein leads to immunosuppression in cancer patients. *Cancer Res* 1996; 56(12): 2827-31.
 PMID: 8665521
 - [14] Bradstreet JJ, Vogelaar E, Thyer L. Initial observations of elevated alpha-N-acetylgalactosaminidase activity associated with autism and observed reductions from Gc protein-Macrophage activating factor injections. *Autism Insights* 2012; 4: 31.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.4137/AUI.S10485>
 - [15] Inui T, Katsuura G, Kubo K, et al. Case Report: GcMAF treatment in a patient with multiple sclerosis. *Anticancer Res* 2016; 36(7): 3771-4.
 PMID: 27354653
 - [16] Mirzaeva D. Using of GcMAF in patient from Uzbekistan with MS and a concomitant liver cirrhosis due to Hepatitis B. *Mov Disord* 2020; 35(Suppl. 1)
 - [17] Siniscalco D, Bradstreet J, Cirillo A, Antonucci N. The *in vitro* GcMAF effects on endocannabinoid system transcriptionomics, receptor formation, and cell activity of autism-derived macrophages. *J Neuroinflammation* 2014; 11(1): 78.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1742-2094-11-78> PMID: 24739187
 - [18] Kirikovich SS, Levites EV, Proskurina AS, et al. The molecular aspects of functional activity of macrophage-activating factor GcMAF. *Int J Mol Sci* 2023; 24(24): 17396.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms242417396> PMID: 38139225
 - [19] Kirikovich SS, Levites EV, Proskurina AS, et al. Production of GcMAF with anti-inflammatory properties and its effect on models of induced arthritis in mice and cystitis in rats. *Curr Issues Mol Biol* 2024; 46(10): 10934-59.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/cimb46100650> PMID: 39451530
 - [20] Proskurina AS, Taranov OS, Kirikovich SS, et al. The antiviral activity of GcMAF in the treatment of experimental animals infected with SARS-CoV-2. *COVID* 2025; 5(3): 36.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/covid5030036>
 - [21] Gladman DD, Ibañez D, Urowitz MB. Systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index 2000. *J Rheumatol* 2002; 29(2): 288-91.
 PMID: 11838846
 - [22] Crow MK. Pathogenesis of systemic lupus erythematosus: Risks, mechanisms and therapeutic targets. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2023; 82(8): 999-1014.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ard-2022-223741> PMID: 36792346
 - [23] Su X, Yu H, Lei Q, et al. Systemic lupus erythematosus: Pathogenesis and targeted therapy. *Mol Biomed* 2024; 5(1): 54.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s43556-024-00217-8> PMID: 39472388
 - [24] Proto JD, Doran AC, Gusarova G, et al. Regulatory T cells promote macrophage efferocytosis during inflammation resolution. *Immunity* 2018; 49(4): 666-677.e6.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2018.07.015> PMID: 30291029
 - [25] Lee J, Kim L, Choi J. Revisiting the concept of targeting NFAT to control T cell immunity and autoimmune diseases. *Front Immunol* 2018; 9: 2747.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.02747> PMID: 30538703
 - [26] Park Y, Yoo S, Kim M, Kim W. The role of calcium-calcineurin-NFAT signaling pathway in health and autoimmune diseases. *Front Immunol* 2020; 11: 195.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.00195> PMID: 32210952
 - [27] Raker VK, Domogalla MP, Steinbrink K. Tolerogenic dendritic cells for regulatory T cell induction in man. *Front Immunol* 2015; 6: 569.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2015.00569> PMID: 26617604
 - [28] van der Meijs NL, Travecedo MA, Marcelo F, van Vliet SJ. The pleiotropic CLEC10A: Implications for harnessing this receptor in the tumor microenvironment. *Expert Opin Ther Targets* 2024; 28(7): 601-12.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14728222.2024.2374743> PMID: 38946482
 - [29] Wang L, Liang Y, Zhao C, et al. Regulatory T cells in homeostasis and disease: Molecular mechanisms and therapeutic potential. *Signal Transduct Target Ther* 2025; 10(1): 345.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41392-025-02326-4> PMID: 41087343
 - [30] Chen S, Saeed AF, Liu Q, et al. Macrophages in immunoregulation and therapeutics. *Signal Transduct Target Ther* 2023; 8(1): 207.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41392-023-01452-1> PMID: 37211559
 - [31] Hammerich L, Binder A, Brody JD. *In situ* vaccination: Cancer immunotherapy both personalized and off-the-shelf. *Mol Oncol* 2015; 9(10): 1966-81.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molonc.2015.10.016> PMID: 26632446
 - [32] Nachod BE, Thatte AS, Palanki R, Mitchell MJ. Nanoparticle-based tolerogenic vaccines: Next-generation strategies for autoimmune and allergic disease therapies. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2025; 65(6): e24097.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.202524097> PMID: 41451511
 - [33] Arve-Butler S, Moorman CD. A comprehensive overview of tolerogenic vaccine adjuvants and their modes of action. *Front Immunol* 2024; 15: 1494499.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1494499> PMID: 39759532

DISCLAIMER: Please note that this article is currently in the 'Early view' stage and is not the final 'Version of Record'. While it has been accepted, copy-edited, and formatted, however, it is still undergoing proofreading and corrections by the authors. Therefore, the text may still change before the final publication. Although 'Early view' may not have all bibliographic details available, the DOI and the year of online publication can still be used to cite them. The article title, DOI, publication year, and author(s) should all be included in the citation format. Once the 'Final Version of Record' becomes available the 'Early view' will be replaced by that.